

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR
D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 838/2026
CNR: RJHC020700972026 | URN: SAW / 1693U / 2026

In
S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.14206/2024
SPML-JWL JV through Its Authorised Signatory Jai Prakash Gupta
S/o Sh. Dean Dayal Gupta, Having Its Place Of Business At Jindal
ITF Centre, 28 Shivaji Marg, New Delhi-110015

-----Appellant/ Respondent No.4

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary,
Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Secretary, Public Health and Engineering Department,
Main Secretariat Building, Jaipur
3. Addl. Chief Engineer, Project, Public Health and
Engineering Department, Project Division Bharatpur

-----Respondent/ Respondent No.1 to 3

4. M/s. GVPR Engineering Limited - M/s HES Infrastructure
Pvt. Ltd. JV, through Its Authorised Signatory Mr. Sridhar
Reddy, Office At 8-2-293/82/A, Plot No. 739-A, Road No.
37, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad-33, Telangana, India

-----Respondent/ Petitioner

For Appellant	:	Mr.Kamlakar Sharma, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr.Manish Lakhawat, Adv, Ms.Sakshi Srivastava, Adv., Ms.Sara Parveen, Adv., & Mr.Yogesh Kalla, Adv.
For Respondent No.4	:	Mr.R.K. Agrawal, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr.Adhiraj Modi, Adv.
For Respondent Nos.1 to 3	:	Mr.Sheetanshu Sharma, Adv. assistant to Advocate General.

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

28/07/2026

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice to the respondents.
3. Learned counsel Mr.Sheetanshu Sharma assisting learned Advocate General accepts notices for appearing on behalf of

respondent Nos.1 to 3. He informs that the State is also challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

4. The question arises before this Court is in relation to the contract awarded to the appellant.

5. Learned Senior Counsel for the appellant states that after awarding the contract, appellant has completed 52 kms of work.

6. Mr.R.K. Agrawal learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr.Adhiraj Modi, appearing as caveator for the respondent No.4-writ petitioner, submits that work awarded to the appellant was already made subject to the decision of the case by the learned Single Judge and LoI issued to the appellant was subject to the decision of the writ petition and submits that no equity would be created in favour of the appellant.

7. Taking into consideration the aforesaid aspects and that the writ petitioner had been ousted originally at the initial stage of examining the technical qualification and lateron, the appellant was declared L-1 bidder in the work of laying down of water-pipeline.

8. List this special appeal for final arguments on 11.08.2026. Till the next date, effect and operation of the impugned judgment dated 17.07.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge shall remain stayed. It is made clear that any work done by the appellant would be subject to the decision of the appeal. No equity shall be created in favour of the appellant.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA), ACTING CJ

S-161/Himanshu Soni